Genes and Expression Pattern of Tobacco Mitochondrial Small Heat Shock Protein under High-Temperature Stress

Hyun Jo Koo¹, Xinli Xia², and Choo Bong Hong¹*

¹Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea ²College of Biological Sciences and Technology, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, P. R. China

In plants, small heat shock proteins (sHsps) have been localized to the cytosol, mitochondria, chloroplasts, and endoplasmic reticulum. Using *NtHSP24.6*, a cDNA clone for tobacco mitochondrial sHsp, as a probe, we performed genomic DNA blot analysis and identified presence of a small gene family for mitochondrial sHsp in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*). Several putative genomic clones for mitochondrial sHsp were isolated when a tobacco genomic library was screened. After restriction mapping of seven genomic clones, three that had shown different maps were selected, and their nucleotide sequences for the putative coding sequence were determined. From these three independent clones, one identical nucleotide sequence was obtained that had two exons with one intron. RNA blot hybridization of heatstressed tobacco plants revealed a typical heat-shock-responsive accumulation of *NtHSP24.6* transcript. Under severe heat-shock conditions, an additional band was apparent, but of a larger transcript size. When we compared the amino acid sequence of NtHSP24.6 with mitochondrial sHsps from various other species, we found a high level of homology throughout the ORF, with an almost complete match to the carboxyl terminus. Our comparison of NtHSP24.6 with tobacco cytosolic class I sHsps also resulted in high homology between their α -crystalline domains, but significant divergence in their amino-terminus regions.

Keywords: expression pattern, genomic clones, mitochondrial sHsp, tobacco

Because heat stress is one of the most serious factors affecting growth, plants synthesize various heat shock proteins (Hsps) under sub-lethal heat stress conditions. These Hsps are grouped into five major families, according to their molecular masses: Hsp100, Hsp90, Hsp70, Hsp60, and small Hsps (Narberhaus, 2002). Additional discoveries have included single-chain chaperonins, in which chaperone and protease activities are combined on a single polypeptide (Spiess et al., 1999), as well as Hsp33, a novel redox-regulated chaperone class (Jakob et al., 1999).

The small heat shock proteins (sHsps) are abundant and ubiquitous in nature. They range in size from 12 to 43 kDa (the majority between 14 and 27 kDa), and can be found in complexes as large as 200 to 800 kDa (Narberhaus, 2002). sHsps share a sequence of about 90 residues, which is homologous to α -crystallin from the vertebrate eye lens (Caspers et al., 1995). Under heat stress, sHsp synthesis is highly induced (several hundredfold) (Richmond et al., 1999), and may comprise up to 1% of the total soluble proteins in a plant (Hsieh et al., 1992). sHsps are also synthesized under oxidative stress (Banzet et al., 1998; Harndahl et al., 1999), γ -irradiation (Harndahl et al., 1999), and low temperatures (Sabehat et al., 1996; Ukaji et al., 1999). In plants, some stress-independent sHsps are also detected during zygotic embryogenesis (Carranco et al., 1997).

Plant sHsps have been organized into six classes, according to their structural similarity and cellular localization (de Jong et al., 1998). Three classes reside in the cytosol (I, II, III); the others are found in the chloroplasts, mitochondria, and endoplasmic reticulum (Scharf et al., 2001). In contrast to plant sHsps, mammalian sHsps localize only to the cytosol and nucleus (Narberhaus, 2002).

As important cellular organelles, the mitochondria perform many essential roles in plants. Their abnormal functioning can result in severely deleterious effects. Chou et al. (1989) have described a correlation between the amount of mitochondrial sHsps accumulated under high-temperature stress and the enhancement of heat tolerance in plant mitochondria. Protection of NADH: ubiquinone oxidoreductase in Complex I and citrate synthase by mitochondrial sHsps has also been demonstrated (Downs and Heckathorn, 1998; Shono et al., 2002). These studies have provided evidence that mitochondrial sHsps are related to the protection of that organelle from various stresses. Nevertheless, the understanding of their structure and functional mode

^{*}Corresponding author; fax +82-2-875-1157 e-mail hcb@snu.ac.kr

cDNA and genomic clones of mitochondrial sHsps have been characterized from various plant species, including *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Liu and Shono, 1999), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Willett et al., 1996; Visioli et al., 1997; *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative, www.arabidopsis.org/agi.html), and *Pisum sativum* (Lenne et al., 1995). *Nicotiana tabacum* has served as a popular model system for investigating various physiological, developmental, and genetic aspects in plants. Therefore, the objective of this study was to use that model species to examine the structure and expression patterns of mitochondrial sHsp genes under high-temperature stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Heat Shock Conditions

Tobacco (N. tabacum L. cv. Wisconsin 38) plants were reared in a growth chamber at 25°C, with a 16-h photoperiod and approximately 200 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ irradiance. The heat-shock test conditions included temperatures of 30°C, 35°C, 40°C, or 45°C, over a period of 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, or 4 h. Relative humidity during these treatments was maintained between 70 and 80%, as monitored with a hygrometer (Model DTH-50C, UEI, Korea).

Isolation of Genomic Clones

A tobacco genomic library in EMBL3 was plated on an LB agar plate containing an Escherichia coli strain KW251 bacterial lawn. The newly formed plagues were blotted to a nylon membrane (Amersham, UK), and hybridized with mitochondrial sHsp cDNA clone, NtHSP24.6, labeled with ³²P-dCTP. This clone had previously been named TMLHSP1 (Choi and Hong, 2000; Youn, 2002). Phage clones with positive signals were amplified, and the phage DNA was extracted. To locate the coding sequence of NtHSP24.6 in the genomic clones, we performed Southern blot hybridization of the phage DNA. The smallest restriction fragment showing a positive signal with the ³²P-labeled NtHSP24.6 was then subcloned into pBluescript II-SK(+). All the above procedures were conducted according to the methods of Sambrook et al. (1989).

Genomic DNA Blot Hybridization

Tobacco leaf tissue (0.2 g) was ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen, then transferred to a 2 mL e-tube, to

which 1.2 mL of genomic DNA lysis buffer [0.05 M Tris·Cl (pH 7.6), 0.1 M NaCl, 0.05 M EDTA, and 0.5% SDS], 0.84 μ L of β -mercaptoethanol, and 50 μ g mL⁻¹ RNaseA were added. After incubating for 1 to 2 h at room temperature, the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was extracted once with phenol, once with 1:1 phenol:chloroform, and once with chloroform. Genomic DNA was pooled down from the supernatant via isopropanol precipitation, followed by a wash with 70% ethanol. It was then digested overnight with restriction enzymes (EcoRI, HindIII, EcoRV, and BamHI), separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 30V, and transferred to a nylon membrane (Amersham, UK). The blotted membrane was treated first with denaturation buffer (0.5 M NaOH and 1.5 M NaCl), then with neutralization buffer (1.5 M NaCl and 0.5 M Tris·Cl). Hybridization with the NtHSP24.6 cDNA probe was carried out at 65°C in hybridization buffer [0.5% sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) and 7% SDS]. The membrane was then washed with 2X SSC, 0.1% SDS for 15 min, followed by 1X SSC, 0.1% SDS for 15 min, and 0.1X SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 2 min. Detailed procedures were as described by Sambrook et al. (1989).

RNA Blot Hybridization

Tobacco leaf tissue (1.5 g) was ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen, then transferred to a 15-mL polyethylene tube, to which 6 mL of RNA lysis buffer [50 mM LiCl, 25 mM Tris·Cl (pH 8.0), 35 mM EDTA, 35 mM EGTA, 0.5% SDS, and 0.2% PVP] was added. This mixture was extracted with 3 mL of phenol, then with 3 mL of chloroform. The supernatant was combined with an equal volume of 4 M LiCl, and stored overnight at -20°C. Afterward, the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 min at 4°C. Following a 70% ethanol wash, the pellet was dissolved in DEPC-treated distilled water. RNA (20 µg) was separated on a 1% agarose gel containing 1X gel running buffer and 15% formaldehyde at 60V. After being transferred to a nylon membrane, it was hybridized with the ³²P-dCTP-labeled NtHSP24.6 cDNA probe at 65°C in hybridization buffer [0.5% sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) and 7% SDS]. The membrane was then washed at 65°C for 15 min each with 2X SSC, 0.1% SDS and 1X SSC, 0.1% SDS. Detailed methods were as described by Sambrook et al. (1989).

Nucleotide Sequencing and Analysis

We used a DNA sequencer 3730 (Applied Biosys-

tems, USA) to determine the nucleotide sequence. The NCBI database was searched for homologs to our identified nucleotide sequences and deduced amino acid sequences. Multiple alignment and homology percent calculations were carried via the GeneBee-Molecular Biology Server, which is managed by the A.N. Belozersky Institute of Physico-Chemical Biology, Moscow State University. The hydropathy plot was drawn according to the procedure of Kyte and Doolittle (1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genomic Cloning for Mitochondrial Small Heat Shock Protein from *N. tabacum*

Screening of a genomic library with the *NtHSP24.6* probe resulted in the isolation of seven putative genomic clones. Restriction maps for these clones revealed three that had partial coding sequences (data not shown), plus four with presumptive full coding sequences. Among the latter four clones, two showed identical restriction patterns. Thus, three genomic clones -- *TM2*, *TM4*, and *TM5* (Fig. 1) -- were chosen for further analyses. Subcloning of the smallest restriction fragments, including the putative coding sequences of *TM2*, *TM4*, and *TM5*, and nucleotide sequencing of the fragments revealed identical sequences from all three clones. The sequence extended for 2031 nucleotides, which fully covered the ORF for *NtHSP24.6*, and contained an intron

TM2Spel Spel Spel Sall Spel Sall BamHI BamHI BamHI 0.5kb 0.6kb 0.7kb 0.7kb 1.1kb 0.9kb 1.Skb 1.7kb 4.788 0.6kt TM4Sall Spel Sal BamHI BamHI BamH 0.5kb 0.6kb 0.7kb 0.7kb 1.1kb 0.9kb 1.748 4.782 4.5kb 0.9kb TM5Sall Spe Sal Spe BamH[BamH] Xho] Xho I BamHI 0.5kb 0.7kb 2.3kb 0.7kb 3.6kb 1.8kb 2.9kb 0.1kb

Figure 1. Restriction maps of genomic clones, *TM2*, *TM4*, and *TM5*, in an EMBL3 bacteriophage at the Sall site. Shadowed box represents portion of the genomic clones that probably contains the NtHSP24.6 coding region.

sequence, promoter, and a 3' untranslated region (probably including a terminator). In the promoter region, heat-shock element sequences (i.e., GAA-- TTC) were found in a dozen locations. However, most of the putative heat-shock elements were either GAA or TTC, with a significant gap between the sequences. Although the typical TATA box was not present in the vicinity of the ORF starting point, a putative CAAT box was located at 85 nucleotides from the 5' region of the translation initiation codon. The intron sequence was flanked by the consensus sequences GT and AG. In the 3' region of the ORF, 298 nucleotides were determined. However, the most frequently denoted poly(A) additional signal, AATAAA, was not present in that region (Fig. 2). The hydropathicity calculated for the protein coded by NtHSP24.6 indicated that it was strongly hydrophilic. However, the amino-terminus region was characterized as hydrophobic (Fig. 3).

Genomic DNA blot hybridization showed that *NtHSP24.6* is encoded by a small family gene. Restriction digests with EcoRI, HindIII, EcoRV, and BamHI resulted in four to six Southern bands, with one major band commonly appeared fromall the digests (Fig. 4).

Under heat-shock conditions, the expression pattern for *NtHSP24.6* transcript (Fig. 5) was similar to those of the cytosolic sHsp genes from tobacco (Park and Hong, 1998). RNA blot hybridization clearly showed the heat-inducibility of its transcription. Among the combinations of stress conditions that were tested, heat shock of 35°C induced the earliest transcript accumulation; 15 min after the treatment was initiated, a sig-

TGTATAATTCTATCAATATCCTTTCGAGCAATTTAAAACTATAAAATCATTTAATATTTTAATATTTTTATUGAATTAGTATGAATTAATA	85
ATACACATTTATCTTTAACAOGGATTACCGATGGATTGGAT	170
AGCTCGTTAGACACTAGGTAAGGCGCCAAAGAGCAACTGAGGACAGAATAGTTATAAAAGAAAAAAAA	255
CAAAAAATTCC98ATCAC98ATATT9TCTACTA9CCTCTA8AAACTTCTCATAAAATT99CACCCTACA89TC198AACTT1CTA	340
CAAGATGCTTCCATGATGTTTCCCGAACCCCATTTCCCTTTCCCCAGTCACTGAGCGAATATAAAAGGAGACACTATACACGCAT	425
TUTCATACITATOCAGE ANTACAUTUTGAAGE AAATTCACTCCATTAGAGE AGTTACCTCTCTCTCCAGAAAGETAGTAGTA	510
ASCARTCATTERCAST ASAACT ECAACT TCACTEGITCTCASAASSBCSACCACCSCCTCASCACTCTTCAACASSCTCSTCA	595
N A T S L V L R R A T T A S A L P N R L V	
CCCCTGTTCSCTCTGCTCTGTTGCTCCTCTGTTTTCCGATCCTTCAACACCAAC9CTCAGATGACAACTTTTGACGATGACGA	680
T P V R S A S V A P S V F R S F N T N A Q M T T F D D D D	
TØATOBCAGOSTTØATGTTØACCGCORCTCCGATCCCTCCGCCGCCGCGCGCCCTCTTTCCTAGCTTCCTCCCAGTTTTG	765
D R S V D V D R R S D P S V S R R R D S F P S F F P	
TICTOCGTT ITTRCTCAAATTTRACCATGAAACAGAGGCAGACCTTCTGTATAAAACCACTGGTTTAGGTTCAATTAACCTCTGT	850
TTI 919101CTAAA9TTTATCAGA9TTAATACATTCTI9A8A8AAT198AA9TCCCTATTTT198CAC9T9TTTATTCACCATCCAA	935
TTTTOCTCTTTTAGTATATATATATATATATACCATAAATTAACCTCTATTTTCTGTCTAAAAGTGAGTAAATATACTTTTGACTT	1020
TTGAGAGAATCTCCCTATATTTGGCACTAATCGTTGTGTGTG	1105
CGTACTTAATATGTTTTTTCTGTTGTGTGTGAAAACCTGTTAAAAATTGATAATATATAAGATAATCGACCTTACTGCTTTAAGAGA	1190
9CT9AGATGT99GTACCATTT9TTCCTAAGTTT9TTAGGACCTTTAACTCCACC9AGTGT9CT9AATAGTGT9ATTAAAT9ATTTT	1275
GACTOGTATGAACCTTTTGTTTCTGATTAAAAACMATGTGTTTGATCCATTTTCACCACCAAGGAGCGTGAGCCAACTACTAAA	1360
D V F D P F S P P R S V S Q L L M	
CATGATGGACCAAATGATGATGATACTCCATTCACGGCACCGCGTGGCATGGGCACCGGAGTCGGAATCAAGGAGAGAGA	1445
M M D Q M M D T P F T A P R G M G T G V G S R R G M D V	
AGAGAAGATGATGATGATGCTTGCATATTAAAATGGACATGCCTGGBCTTGACAAGGAAAACGTGAAGGTATCAGTGGAGAACG	1530
R E D D D A L H I K H D M P G L D K E N V K V S V E Q N	
COTTGATTATCAAABGAGAAGBGAGAAABGATCAGAGGATGAAGAGTATAGGAGAAGGTACTCTACCAGACTTGAAATTCCTCA	1615
A L I I K G E O E K E S E D E E Y R R Y S T R L E I P G	
AAATCTCTACAAATTDGATGAGATTAADBCOGAGATGAAGAATGGTGTTCTAAADJTGGCGGTTCCTAADGTGAAGAGAGA	1700
N L Y K L D E I K A E H K N G V L K V A V P K V K E D E	
ABBAABBATUTTTTCCATUTTCAABTTGATTGATTGATTTAATGCTTABTTGTUTTGABTCTGATGTTATAAACATTTTCCTT	1785
* * D * F H * Q * D *	
CTTTTTCCCCTAGE TATTTTGGATUTAGTAGGTTCTUTUGAAAAUTAAAGACGACCTUCTAAAAAUTAT06TTUTAAGT0C	1870
CIL TITALAT FAAT PURAAST PURCTATIA FATA FC TIC TIC TIC TIC ASCATA ASSTUTTING ASCATA IN A STATE	1900
TUCTATUCTAN, TUCCADT GOUGAAGT TACTAATTTTTTCAACGUTATTTAACTUTGAAGGACTUAAGAAAAATT	2031

Figure 2. Nucleotide sequence of genomic clones and the amino acid sequence deduced for the open reading frame (ORF). Underlined sequences of GAA and TTC are putative heat-shock elements. Putative CAAT box is drawn in bold and underlined. Intron is 551 nucleotides long.



Figure 3. Hydropathy plot of NtHSP24.6. Positive value on Y-axis indicates hydrophobicity; negative value, hydrophilicity. X-axis indicates amino acid position.



Figure 4. Genomic DNA blot hybridization with *NtHSP24.6* probe for *N. tabacum*. Genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI, HindIII, EcoRV, and BamHI.

nificant amount of *NtHSP24.6* transcript was already detectable. However, the overall level of transcript was greater at higher temperatures, i.e., 40°C and 45°C. In fact, the maximum was reached after 1 to 2 h, depending on the temperature treatment. Moreover, after this maximum was achieved, the accumulation of transcript declined when treatments were prolonged. We also observed that, at 45°C, one additional northern band appeared, which was approximately 600 nucleotides larger than those that were commonly found (Fig. 5).

Comparison of Plant Mitochondrial Small Heat Shock Protein Genes

When NtHSP24.6 was compared with other reported



Figure 5. A, Expression pattern of *NtHSP24.6* gene under heat shock, based on RNA blot hybridization with *NtHSP24.6* probe. **B**, Ethidium bromide staining of a duplicate gel from (**A**).

mitochondrial sHsps, we usually located a common, conserved α -crystallin domain, which is often represented as consensus region I, and consensus region II (Chen and Vierling, 1991). Most notably in consensus region I, from the 168th to the 210th amino acid of NtHSP24.6, --R--~-D-I-A-MKNGVL-V---K- in the shaded columns were completely conserved. The same was

N+USP24 6	1	1)	
L esculentum	2	1)	MATI AI RRATASSI FNRI VNPVRSASAFRSFNTNTOWTAVDOD
C rubrim	2	1)	KASKAL RELASENT VSGETFRPI SVSRSFNTNACMGRVD
AtHSP23.5	i	1)	MASSSALALRRLLSSSTVAVPRALRAVRPVAASSRLFNTNA-ARNYE
AtHSP23.6	ć	1)	ASALALKRLLSSSIAPRSRSVLRPAVSSALFNTNA-VRSYDDD
E. esula	Ċ	1)	MASSLAIKRLVSSNLIPSSLRVIRPCVAAQPSSILFNTNA-VRQVD
G. max	(1)	MASSLIAKRFLSSSLLSRSLLRPAASASHRSFDTNA-MRQYDNRAD
P.sativum	(1)	MASSLALKRFLSSGLLSSSFLRPVASSAS
TaHSP23.5	(1)	MISRVVFSNRIPLVRAMENLLAASSGSALRP-AAVAGGVIGYNAGAPLRSYDRD
Z. mays	Ç	1)	MISIVASRRAVPLVRALEKLIAASSAPGTGSALRP-VAVAGGLROYNTGAPLRRYE-GAE
TaHSP23.6	(1)	MASAVDCKGKEIAPASLLKSGAPVAFRSVHS-PAVTAARRPYNTQAKEVS-RYD
P. glauca	(1)	NAT-YASAKSNYMASYIPAYAKCLLPSGRUGUSSASAMCASLSIAAAKYKPEYD
NtHSP24.6	(51)	DRSVDVDRRSDPSVSRRRDSFP-SFFPDVF-D-PFSPP-RSVSQLLNMMDQMM-DTP
L.esculentum	(44)	DRGVDVDRRSDRSVSRRDA-FP-SLFSDVF-D-PFSPPiRSVSQLLNMMDQMM-DSP
C. rubrum	<u>(</u>	40)	-HDHELDDRSNRAPISRRGD-FPASFFSDVF-D-PFRAT-RSVGQLMNLMDQLM-ENP
AtHSP23.5	<u>(</u>	47)	-DGVDRNHHSNRHVSRHGGDFFSHIL-D-PFTPT-RSLSQMLNFMDQVS-EIP
AthSP23.6	<u>(</u>	44)	GENGDGVDLYRRSVPRRRGDFFSDVF-D-PFSPT-RSVSQVLNLMDQFM-ENP
E.esula	5	46)	DIDEDDRRIDGPLYGRGGDFLSDVV-N-PFWPS-TNLSRMLNVMEPF1-ENP
G. max	5	46)	DHSTDIDRHSERSFPSTARRDDIFL-RCVGSIFSDSEFEPGSEHDGPGHGQSVP
P. sativum	5	46)	DRNVDVYRHSFPRTRRDDLLLSDVF-D-PFSPP-RSLSQVLNMVDLLT-DNP
Tansr23.5	5	547	EAVEDIRRVARERDIGVP-SFFSDVFRD-PFSAP-USLGRLLSMLDDVA
Z. mays	2	597	DEDUSVRETUGRHOGRUTAVP-SLFSDIFRU-PLSAP-HSIGRLLNLVDULA
P glauge	2	53/	SATOD_DTOND_OASETTERCO_I DNIVED_DEVEL_DSI CEGI_DOI E_DND
r.grauca		547	SRIGD-DIGHR-WASEIRKGG-LEWIEGD-FFIFE-KSLG-FGE-DAF
			·· ++ + . +
NtHSP24.6	<	103)	F-TAPRGMGTGVGSRRG-WDVREDDDALHIKMDNPGLDKENVKVSVEQNALIIKG-BG
L.esculentum	(96)	FVAAPRAMGAGVGARRG-NDVKEDDNALYIKMDMEREDKENVKVAVEENTLIIKG-BG
C. rubrum	<u>(</u>	92)	FMAASRGSGRAMRRG-NDVREDEEALELKVDN SLAKEDVKVSVEDNTLIIKS-EA
AtHSP23, 5	(95)	LVSATRGMGASGVRRG-NNVKEKDDALHLRIDMFGLSREDVKLALEGNTLVIRG-EG
AthSP23.6	\$	93)	LLSATRGMGASGARRG-UDIKERDDALYLRIDGESKEDYKLALEGDTEV FRG-BG
E. esula	2	94)	FVSRUMSAGIRRN-NDARSIEDALNERVDARSUEDKKDVKVSVEKNIGIIKG-BG
G, max	>	99)	LINA AG DOG NOADETEDALELAU DE
F, Sacivum	>	100)	
7 maye	2	1081	VAAPPDCPDCUAP PERM UP DVA POSTERVEVVVAFONST VTV0_BC
TaHSP23 5	è.	99)	GLSSTAGAGASRLGTWAKEDDDAVYLKVPNOVETKEHVEVRADKNILVEKG-RG
P. glauca	ì	99)	FLAASRGTGDAVRGGSRKP-WDAV KDKE LHLRVDWPSLGKEDIKVYAEENALVIKG-ES
			Consensus II
			++*,,*,,,+,,, +**+,*+++*, ++**+*,********
NtHSP24.6	(158)	EKES-EDEEYR-RRYSTRLEIPQ-NLYKLDETKREMKNGVLKVAVPKVKEDERKDVFH
L.esculentum	(152)	EKES-ENEEYR-RRYSTRLEIPQ-NIYKLOGIKAENONYLKYAVPKVKQEERKDVFD
C.rubrum	(146)	EKET-EEEEQR-RRYSSRIELTP-NLYKINGIK EEGOWIKVIVPRIKEEEKKDVFQ
AtHSP23.5	(150)	ETEEGE-DVSGDG-RRFTSRIELPE-KVYKTBEIX FIRMUVKKVVIPKIKEDERNNIRH
AtHSP23.6	<u>(</u>	148)	KNEEDGGEEGESGNRRFTSRIGLPD-KIYKIEEIKAEKOOVEKVVIPKMKEQERNDVRQ
E.esula	(146)	EKES-EDEESG-RKYSGRIDLPE-KMFKTDELXREDWICKVVPKVKEDERADVFH
G, max	ç	153)	AKEGDEEESA-RRYISBIDLPD-KLYKIEKIKKEEKKOVIK VPLAVVPLAVEEERKOVIS
P. Sativum	2	143)	AKES-E-EKENSG-KKPSSRIDLPE-KLIKIDVIKERANOVUKVIVPANKEEKENDVDUEE
1aH5P23,5	2	100/	EXED = DEALDEDDVCCDIELAD UVIKUWAASCHAVYUKVVCCODVDVC
L. Mays	2	153)	EVENDEDEARFFRIDGALELAF-EYIANAKARAKANAKATI I PUVEEDAVDUU
P glauga	2	157)	
1,910000	`	1317	Consensus 1
			++
NtHSP24.6	(213)	VQVD
L.esculentum	(207)	VKIE
C, rubrum	(201)	VMVD
AtHSP23.5	(207)	INVD
AtHSP23.6	(207)	IEIN
E esula	Ç.	201)	VKIE
G, max	2	208)	VAVE
r. Sativum Tauspoo E	2	733)	
1 anor 23. 3	2	211)	Y NY D
TallSP23 6	2	213)	VKVE
P glauca	ì	212)	VNIE

Figure 6. Comparison of deduced amino acid sequences for NtHSP24.6 and mitochondrial sHsps from *L. esculentum* (accession No. AB017134), *Chenopodium rubrum* (accession No. X15333), *Euphorbia esula* (accession No. AF237957), *A. thaliana* (*AtHSP23.6* accession No. X98375, U72958; *AtHSP23.5* accession No. BT008645), *P. sativum* (accession No. X86222), *Clycine max* (accession No. U21722), *Zea mays* (accession No. AF035460), *Picea glauca* (accession No. AF104108; *TaHSP23.5* accession No. AF104107).

Signs at the top of the alignment are defined as:

''- the average weight of column pair exchanges is less than weight matrix mean value

... - is less than mean value plus one SD

'+' - is less than mean value plus two SD

'*' - is more than mean value plus two SD

true in consensus region II, from the 116th amino acid to the 157th amino acid, with -W---E---A------MPGL-------L-I---E- in the shaded columns. In addition, alanine at the 2nd amino acid and arginine at the 35th amino acid were also completely conserved in a pool of many homologous amino acids throughout the proteins (Fig. 6).

			•
NtHSP24 6	(1)	MATSLVLRRATTASALENRLVTPVRSASVAPSVERSENTNAQMITEDDDDDRSVDVDRRS
Consensus	•		М
Someonibab			* *****
N+UCD17 5	(+)	MSI IPDWECD
NUMBER C	- >		N
NTHSP17.6		1)	MFrGGFrGG
NtHSP18.1	(1)	MFFGGFFGG
NtHSP18,2	(1)	MSLIPSFFDG
NtHSP18.3	(1)	MFFGG
N+HSP18 8	i	1)	MMPTESCI DSRRSI PAG
N+USP10 8	ì	1)	MUL COC
NCHOT 19.0	``	1/	DELATRE RI 100
Number of	,	CA \	
NTHSP24.0	ι.	61)	UPSVSER-RUS FPSEP POVP MPF-SPERSVSULLNMPUQMMUTEPTAPRGNGTGVG
Consensus			N-PET-AF-S
			** *++ *****+*+********** + ++++** * ++ +**+**
NtHSP17.5	(11)	GSNSGETSAFAN
NtHSP17.6	(11)	GTVANLPTSAFAN
N+HSP18 1	i	11)	GTVANVP-SSARETSAFAN
N+USD18 2	ì	111	PROFESSION PROPERTY AND PROPERT
Neuropio 2		11)	DD CNI EDDECIDI MODERCODCC DTUNETE TOTALIA
NCHOP10.3	ţ,	11/	RIVANIF-ISARLIKAFAS
NtHSP18.8	ų,	18)	SNLVNVP-SSARETSAFAN
NtHSP19.8	(19)	SGSTGRPRSGSTCRSTDFFSFDMWDPFEGFPLSSNLANVP-SSARETSAFAN
			. * +* + *+ * * ** **,***,**+, .+ *,**
NtHSP24.6	(106)	SRRGWDVREDDDALNI-EMDMPOLDEENVEVSVEONALI-IKGEGEKESEDEEYR
Consensus			-BIDWKRTPFWVFW-D-WQ-KRRFWKVFWFGRVIOTSMRRF-FR-ND-WHS-F
compendad			+**** ***** ***************************
N+USD17 6	1	47)	TOUDW VETOEA_UVEYADI DOI VEEPVEVETEDDOVI OT SGEDNVEKEDENDTWUDVE
Neuconiti C	ì	477	AD IDW KETDER INDERUDI DO LYKERUKURURRODUL OLOGEDODERERADIUWURME
NTHSP17.0	· ·	4/)	ARIDMKEIPEA-HVFKVDLPGIKKEEVKVEVLEGRVLQISGERSRELELENNDRMIKME
NtHSP18.1	(5Z)	ARIDWKETPDS-HIFKMDVPGIKKEEVKVEVEEGRVLQISGERSREGEEKNDTWHRME
NtHSP18.2	(52)	ARIDWKETPES-HVFKVDLPGIKKEEVKVEVEEGRVLQISGERSREQEEKNDKWHSME
NtHSP18.3	(52)	ARIDWKETPES-HVFKVDLPGIKKEEVKVEVEEGRVLQISGERSREEEENNDKWHRME
NTHSP18 8	ć	52)	AR I DWKETPEA-HVFKADLPGLKKEEVKVEVEEGKVLQI SGETSKEQEEKNEQWHRVE
N+HSP10 8	ì	62)	ARTDWKETTPEA-HVEKADI PGI KKEEVKVEVEEGKVI OTSGERSKEDEEKNDOWHRVE
a chor 19, 0	`	02)	ARIDA RETER TOTRADELOERREPARTEVELORVERIOOENONEGEDIAU
			n nn
National C	,	1601	
NCHSP24.0	(1001	KKISIKLEIPURLIKLUKIKAERANNYLAYAYEAYKEDERADYEAYA
Consensus			RSSGKF-RRFRLP-NKMDELKA-MENGYLTVTVFKE-KKPDVK-1D1S-

NtHSP17.5	(104)	RSSGKFMRRFRLPENA-KMDQVKAAMENGVLTVTVPK-EEVKKPDVKSIDISG
NtHSP17.6	(104)	RSSGKFLRRFRLPENT-KMEEIKAAMENGVLTVTVPK-MEEKKPDVKAIDISA
NtHSP18 1	i	1091	RSSGKFMRRFRLPGNA-KMEEIKAAMENGVLTVTVPK-EEEKKSEVKAIDISG
N+USD18 2	ì	1001	DSSCREE DDEDI DENT_EMPETIKATMENGUI TUTUDY_MERKKDEVYATDISC
Neucosto 2		100	DOCOVELEDEDI DENT VMEETVAAMENCULTUTUDV MEEVVEEVVATDICO
NCHSP18.3		103)	ROOOKFLERFELFENI-AMEEIKAAMENGVLIVIVFR-MEEKKPEVKAIDISC
NtHSP18.8	(109)	RSSGKFLRRFRLPENA-KMDQVKASMENGVLTVTVPK-EEVKKPEVKAIEISG
NtHSP19.8	(119)	RSSGKFLRRFRLPENV-KMDQVKASMENGVLTVTVPK-EEVKKPEVKAIEISG
			*** *

Figure 7. Comparison between tobacco sHsps, NtHSP24.6 and Class I cytosolic sHsps. Consensus indicates a sequence identified from the 7 Class I cytosolic sHsps from *N. tabacum*. Signs at the top of the alignment are defined in Figure 6.

Comparing NtHSP24.6 with the Class I cytosolic sHsps in *N. tabacum* revealed high homology, especially in consensus regions I and II, although this level of similarity was less than that represented in Figure 6. Nevertheless, NtHSP24.6 differed from the other proteins in having a long extension of about 60 amino acids to the amino terminus, a feature that was mostly lacking in the cytosolic proteins (Fig. 7).

Characteristics of Mitochondrial sHsp Genes in N. tabacum

By screening the genomic library for *NtHSP24.6*, we were able to isolate more than five different clones, which probably reflected family members of the mitochondrial sHsp genes in *N. tabacum*. This had also been the assumption based on the results of our genomic DNA blot hybridization. The identical nucleotide sequences from three genomic clones suggests that mitochondrial sHsp genes may have been duplicated during the genomic evolution of *N. tabacum*. Highly homologous restriction maps among those clones also support this proposition. Likewise, if the amino terminus portion were not considered, the homology would be very strong between mitochondrial and cytoplasmic sHsps. This strong similarity suggests identical origin for the mitochondrial sHsp genes and cytoplasmic sHsp genes.

It is likely that the mitochondrial sHsp gene was developed from cytoplasmic sHsp genes by acquiring, for mitochondrial targeting, the first 26 amino-acid sequence (MATSLVLRRATTASALFNRLVTPVRS), as predicted by the MITOPROT program (Claros and Vincens, 1996), plus some additional sequences with unknown function. Further evidence for how little these mitochondrial sHsps have diverged among plant species is the low number of gene copies seen for mitochondrial sHsps in *A. thaliana* (i.e., possibly just one copy on Chromosome 4; *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative Web Line: <u>www.arabidopsis.org/agi.html</u>), as well as the probably identical ORFs from all the mitochondrial sHsp genomic clones presented here.

The level of nucleotide sequence homology between NtHSP24.6 and the genes for cytoplasmic sHsps (Park and Hong, 1998) was between 31.8% and 38.4%, and even in the consensus regions the rate was below 50%. Therefore, cross-hybridization of the cytoplasmic sHsp transcripts in the RNA blot hybridization was not likely. Because of this, the temporal expression pattern of the mitochondrial sHsp transcript that was highly homologous to that of the cytoplasmic sHsp transcripts suggests that the heat-shock response of mitochondrial sHsp gene transcription parallels that of the cytoplasmic. We detected an additional RNA band under severe heat-shock conditions, i.e., 45°C. Considering the size of the intron in NtHSP24.6, the larger-sized RNA that appeared in that environment was likely to be the mis-spliced mRNA of NtHSP24.6, namely the pre-mRNA still carrying the intron sequence. It is a good possibility that splicing malfunctioned at this high temperature, resulting in nonfunctional transcripts. In addition, Osteryoung et al. (1993) have found that intron processing can be disrupted by severe and abrupt heat shock, and that releasing the organism from such a stress can induce normal splicing of the intron, thereby promoting thermotolerance in the cells.

Molecular chaperone functioning has been well documented for cytoplasmic sHsps (Beissinger and Buchner, 1998; van Montfort et al., 2002). Considering the strong homology between our mitochondrial sHsp and the cytoplasmic sHsps, it is highly likely that the former possesses comparable chaperone activity, as has also been reported previously (Downs and Heckathorn, 1998; Shono et al., 2002). The presence of highly homologous consensus regions I and II, as peptides, suggests a similar, complex formation of mitochondrial sHsps in situ, i.e., a dodecameric double-ring structure. However, mitochondria still maintain many prokaryotic characters, e.g., circular genomes and their transcription and translation systems, and chemical environment is very different from other parts of the cell as they function in energy production. Therefore, we believe that the functional mode of mitochondrial sHsps deviates significantly from that of cytoplasmic sHsps as molecular chaperones. The major variation in their amino terminus regions, excluding the mitochondrial targeting sequence, may serve as the structural basis for those undefined differences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation to the Agricultural Plant Stress Research Center (APSRC, R11-2001-0920202-0) of Chonnam National University to C. B. Hong. H. J. Koo was partially supported by a BK21 Research Fellowship from the Ministry of Education and Human Resources Development, Korea.

Received July 2, 2003; accepted August 20, 2003.

LITERATURE CITED

- Banzet N, Richaud C, Deveaux Y, Kazmaier M, Gagnon J, Triantaphylides C (1998) Accumulation of small heat shock proteins, including mitochondrial HSP22, induced by oxidative stress and adaptive response in tomato cells. Plant J 13: 519-527
- Beissinger M, Buchner J (1998) How chaperones fold proteins. Biol Chem 379: 245-259
- Carranco R, Almoguera C, Jordano J (1997) A plant small heat shock protein gene expressed during zygotic embryogenesis but noninducible by heat stress. J Biol Chem 272: 27470-27475
- Caspers GJ, Leunissen JA, de Jong WW (1995) The expanding small heat-shock protein family, and structure predictions of the conserved "alpha-crystallin domain". J Mol Evol 40: 238-248
- Chen Q, Vierling E (1991) Analysis of conserved domains identifies a unique structural feature of chloroplast heat shock proteins. Mol Gen Genet **226**: 425-431
- Choi G, Hong CB (2000) Analyses of expressed sequence tags of anther and anther-specific cDNA clones in *Nicotiana tabacum*. J Plant Biol 43: 107-113
- Chou M, Chen YM, Lin YM, Lin CY (1989) Thermotolerance of isolated mitochondria associated with heat shock proteins. Plant Physiol 98: 617-621

- Claros MG, Vincens P (1996) Computational method to predict mitochondrially imported proteins and their targeting sequences. Eur J Biochem 241: 779-786
- de Jong WW, Caspers GJ, Leunissen JAM (1998) Genealogy of the α-crystallin small heat-shock protein superfamily. Intl J Biol Macromol 22: 151-162
- Downs CA, Heckathorn SA (1998) The mitochondrial small heat-shock protein protects NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase of the electron transport chain during heat stress in plants. FEBS Lett 430: 246-250
- Harndahl U, Hall RB, Osteryoung, KW, Vierling E, Bornman JF, Sundby C (1999) The chloroplast small heat shock protein undergoes oxidation-dependent conformational changes and may protect plants from oxidative stress. Cell Stress Chaperones 4: 129-138
- Hsieh MH, Chen JT, Jin TL, Chen YM, Lin CY (1992) A class of soybean low molecular weight heat shock protein. Plant Physiol 99: 1279-1284.
- Jakob U, Muse W, Eser M, Bardwell JCA (1999) Chaperone activity with a redox switch. Cell 96: 341-352
- Kyte J, Doolittle RF (1982) A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J Mol Biol 157: 105-132
- Lenne C, Block MA, Garin J, Douce R (1995) Sequence and expression of the mRNA encoding HSP22, the mitochondrial small heat-shock protein in pea leaves. Biochem J 311: 805-813
- Liu J, Shono M (1999) Characterization of mitochondrialocated small heat shock protein from tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Plant Cell Physiol 40: 1297-1304
- Narberhaus F (2002) α -Crystallin-type heat shock proteins: Socializing minichaperones in the context of a multichaperone network. MMBR 66: 64-93
- Osteryoung KW, Sundberg H, Vierling E (1993) Poly(A) tail length of a heat-shock protein RNA is increased by severe heat-stress, but intron splicing is unaffected. Mol Gen Genet 239: 323-333
- Park SM, Hong CB (1998) Comparison of the structure and expression pattern for a low molecular weight heatshock protein cDNA clone from *Nicotiana tabacum*. Mol Cells 8: 594-599

- Richmond CS, Glasner JD, Mau R, Jin H, Blattner FR (1999) Genome-wide expression profiling in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Nucleic Acids Res 27: 3821-3835
- Sabehat A, Weiss D, Lurie S (1996) The correlation between heat-shock protein accumulation and persistence and chilling tolerance in tomato fruit. Plant Physiol 110: 531-537
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 2nd ed, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York
- Scharf KD, Siddique M, Vierling E (2001) The expanding family of *Arabidopsis thaliana* small heat stress proteins and a new family of proteins containing α-crystallin domains (Acd proteins). Cell Stress Chaperones 6: 225-237
- Shono M, Liu J, Sanmiya K, Singh I, Din J, Suzuki K, Tsukaguchi T, Egawa Y (2002) Functional analysis of mitochondrial small heat shock protein. JIRCAS Working Rep 17-23
- Spiess C, Beil A, Ehrmann M (1999) A temperature-dependent switch from chaperone to protease in a widely conserved heat shock protein. Cell 97: 339-347
- Ukaji N, Kuwabara C, Takezawa D, Arakawa K, Yoshida S, Fujikawa S (1999) Accumulation of small heat shock protein homologs in the endoplasmic reticulum of cortical parenchyma cells in mulberry in association with seasonal cold acclimation. Plant Physiol 120: 481-490
- van Montfort R, Slingsby C, Vierling E (2002) Structure and function of the small heat shock protein/α-crystallin family of molecular chaperones. Adv Prot Chem 9: 105-156
- Visioli G, Maestri E, Marmiroli N (1997) Differential display-mediated isolation of a genomic sequence for a putative mitochondrial LMW HSP specifically expressed in condition of induced thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. Plant Mol Biol 34: 517-527
- Willett DA, Basha E, Vierling E (1996) Nucleotide sequence of a cDNA encoding a mitochondrion-localized small Hsp from Arabidopsis thaliana: AtHsp23.6 (Accession No. U72958) (PGR96-117). Plant Physiol 112: 1399
- Youn KM (2002) Structure and expression pattern of mitochondrial small heat-shock protein gene in *Nicotiana tabacum*. M.S. thesis. Seoul National University, Seoul